arXiv:1510.08399v1 [math.DG] 28 Oct 2015

Pseudo–spherical submanifolds with 1–type pseudo–spherical Gauss map

Burcu Bektaş, Elif Özkara Canfes and Uğur Dursun

Abstract

In this work, we study the pseudo–Riemannian submanifolds of a pseudo–sphere with 1–type pseudo–spherical Gauss map. First, we classify the Lorentzian surfaces in a 4–dimensional pseudo–sphere $\mathbb{S}_s^4(1)$ with index s, $s = 1, 2$, and having harmonic pseudo–spherical Gauss map. Then we give a characterization theorem for pseudo–Riemannian submanifolds of a pseudo–sphere $\mathbb{S}_s^{m-1}(1) \subset \mathbb{E}_s^m$ with 1–type pseudo–spherical Gauss map, and we classify spacelike surfaces and Lorentzian surfaces in the de Sitter space $\mathbb{S}_1^4(1) \subset \mathbb{E}_1^5$ with 1–type pseudo–spherical Gauss map. Finally, according to the causal character of the mean curvature vector we obtain the classification of submanifolds of a pseudo–sphere having 1–type pseudo–spherical Gauss map with nonzero constant component in its spectral decomposition

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 53C40, 53C42, 53B25.

Key words and phrases: finite type mapping, Gauss map, pseudo–sphere, biharmonic Gauss map, marginally trapped surface.

1 Introduction

The theory of finite type submanifolds of a Euclidean space was initiated by B.-Y. Chen in late seventies and it has become useful tool for investigation of submanifolds such as minimal submanifolds and parallel submanifolds. Since that time there have been rapid developments of finite type submanifolds of a Euclidean and a pseudo–Euclidean space, [2, 3, 4, 18]. Then, B.-Y. Chen and P. Piccinni extended the notion of finite type submanifolds to differentiable maps on submanifolds, in particular to Gauss map, [8].

A smooth map $\phi : M \longrightarrow \mathbb{E}^m$ of a compact Riemannian manifold M into a Euclidean space $\mathbb{E}^m$ is said to be of finite type if it has a finite spectral decomposition:

$$\phi = \phi_0 + \phi_1 + \phi_2 + \cdots + \phi_k, \tag{1.1}$$

where ϕ_0 is a constant vector in $\mathbb{E}^m$, and ϕ_i's are nonconstant $\mathbb{E}^m$–valued maps such that $\Delta\phi_i = \lambda_{p_i}\phi_i$ with $\lambda_{p_i} \in \mathbb{R}$, $i = 1, \ldots, k$. When the spectral resolution contains exactly k nonconstant terms, the map ϕ is called of k–type. Otherwise, it is of infinite type. Since M is compact, ϕ_0 is the center of mass of M in $\mathbb{E}^m$. On the other hand, one cannot make the spectral decomposition of a map on a noncompact Riemannian manifold in general. However, the notion of finite type immersions on noncompact manifolds was given as above in [4, page 124]. For the noncompact case the Laplacian operator may have zero eigenvalue for a nonconstant map in the spectral decomposition.

Let $\mathbf{x} : M \to \mathbb{E}_s^m$ be an isometric immersion from an n–dimensional pseudo–Riemannian manifold M into a pseudo–Euclidean space $\mathbb{E}_s^m$. Let $G(n, m)$ denote the Grassmannian manifold consisting of all oriented n–planes of $\mathbb{E}_s^m$. The *classical Gauss map* $\nu : M \to G(n, m)$ associated with $\mathbf{x}$ is the map which carries each point $p \in M$ to the oriented n–plane of $\mathbb{E}_s^m$ obtained by parallel displacement of the tangent space T_pM to the origin of $\mathbb{E}_s^m$. Since $G(n, m)$ can be canonically imbedded in the vector space $\bigwedge^n \mathbb{E}_s^m \cong \mathbb{E}_q^N$ for some integer q, the classical Gauss map ν gives rise to a well–defined map from M into the pseudo–Euclidean space $\mathbb{E}_q^N$, where $N = \binom{m}{n}$ and $\bigwedge^n \mathbb{E}_s^m$ is the vector space obtained by the exterior products of n vectors in $\mathbb{E}_s^m$, [15].

An isometric immersion from an n–dimensional Riemannian manifold M into a Euclidean $(m-1)$–sphere $\mathbb{S}^{m-1}$ can be viewed as one into a Euclidean m–space, and therefore the Gauss map associated with such an immersion can be determined in the ordinary sense. However, for the Gauss map to reflect the properties of the immersion into a sphere, instead of into the Euclidean space, M. Obata modified the definition of Gauss map appropriately, [17].

Let $\mathbf{x} : M \to \widetilde{M}$ be an isometric immersion from an n–dimensional Riemannian manifold M into an m– dimensional simply connected complete space $\widetilde{M}$ of constant curvature. The generalized Gauss map in the Obata's sense is a map which assigns to each $p \in M$ the totally geodesic n–space tangent to $\mathbf{x}(M)$ at $\mathbf{x}(p)$. In the case $\widetilde{M}^m = \mathbb{S}^m$ (or resp. $\widetilde{M}^m = \mathbb{H}^m$) the generalized Gauss map is also called the *spherical Gauss map* (or resp. the *hyperbolic Gauss map*). For spherical submanifolds, the concept of spherical Gauss map is more relevant than the classical one, and also the geometric behavior of classical and spherical Gauss map are different. For example, the classical Gauss map of every compact Euclidean submanifold is mass–symmetric; but the spherical Gauss map of a spherical compact submanifold is not mass–symmetric in general.

Later, in [14] T. Ishihara studied Gauss map in a generalized sense of pseudo–Riemannian

submanifolds of pseudo–Riemannian manifolds that also gives the Gauss map in the Obata's sense.

Let $\widetilde{M}_s^{m-1}$ denote the pseudo–sphere $\mathbb{S}_s^{m-1}(1) \subset \mathbb{E}_s^m$ or the pseudo–hyperbolic space $\mathbb{H}_s^{m-1}(-1) \subset \mathbb{E}_{s+1}^m$. Let $\mathbf{x} : M_t^n \to \widetilde{M}_s^{m-1}$ be an oriented isometric immersion from an n–dimensional pseudo–Riemannian manifold M_t^n with index t into the $(m-1)$–dimensional complete pseudo–Riemannian space $\widetilde{M}_s^{m-1}$ of constant curvature. The generalized Gauss map in the Obata's sense is a map associated to $\mathbf{x}$ which assigns to each $p \in M_t^n$ a totally geodesic n–subspace of $\widetilde{M}_s^{m-1}$ tangent to $\mathbf{x}(M_t^n)$ at $\mathbf{x}(p)$. Since the totally geodesic n–subspace of $\widetilde{M}_s^{m-1}$ tangent to $\mathbf{x}(M_t^n)$ at $\mathbf{x}(p)$ is the pseudo–sphere $\mathbb{S}_t^n(1)$ (or resp. the pseudo–hyperbolic space $\mathbb{H}_t^n(-1)$), it determines a unique oriented $(n+1)$–plane containing $\mathbb{S}_t^n(1)$ (or resp. $\mathbb{H}_t^n(-1)$). Thus, the generalized Gauss map in the Obata's sense can be extended to a map $\hat{\nu}$ of M_t^n into the Grassmannian manifold $G(n+1,m)$ in the natural way, and the composition $\tilde{\nu}$ of $\hat{\nu}$ followed by the natural inclusion of $G(n+1,m)$ into a pseudo–Euclidean space $\mathbb{E}_q^N$, $N = \binom{m}{n+1}$, for some integer q is the *pseudo–spherical Gauss map* (or resp. the *pseudo–hyperbolic Gauss map*).

In [8], B.-Y. Chen and P. Piccinni obtained characterization and classification of submanifolds with 1–type Gauss map, and they also gave the complete classification of minimal surfaces of $\mathbb{S}^{m-1}$ with 2–type Gauss map. In [11], U. Dursun studied hypersurfaces of hyperbolic space with 1–type Gauss map, and he classified hypersurfaces of a hyperbolic space in Lorentz–Minkowski space $\mathbb{E}_1^m$ with at most two distinct principal curvatures and 1–type Gauss map.

In [6], B.-Y. Chen and H.-S. Lue studied spherical submanifolds with finite type spherical Gauss map, and they obtained some characterization and classification results. In [1], B. Bektaş and U. Dursun determined submanifolds of the unit sphere $\mathbb{S}^{m-1}$ with nonmass–symmetric 1–type spherical Gauss map, and they also classified constant mean curvature surfaces in $\mathbb{S}^3$ with mass–symmetric 2–type spherical Gauss map. Recently, the fundamental results about the submanifolds of hyperbolic space with finite type hyperbolic Gauss map were obtained in [12].

In this paper, we study submanifolds of a pseudo–sphere with finite type pseudo–spherical Gauss map. First we determine the Lorentzian surfaces in a pseudo–sphere $\mathbb{S}_s^4(1) \subset \mathbb{E}_s^5$ with harmonic pseudo–spherical Gauss map. Then we completely classify spacelike surfaces and Lorentzian surfaces in the de Sitter space $\mathbb{S}_1^4(1) \subset \mathbb{E}_1^5$ with 1–type pseudo–spherical Gauss map. According to the casual character of the mean curvature vector we classify the submanifolds of a pseudo–sphere with 1–type pseudo–spherical

Gauss map having a nonzero constant component in its spectral decomposition. Also, we determine the marginally trapped spacelike surfaces in the de Sitter space $\mathbb{S}_1^4(1) \subset \mathbb{E}_1^5$ having 1–type pseudo–spherical Gauss map with nonzero constant component in its spectral decomposition. Moreover, we prove that an n–dimensional pseudo horosphere in $\mathbb{S}_s^{n+1}(1) \subset \mathbb{E}_s^{n+2}$ has biharmonic pseudo–spherical Gauss map.

2 Preliminaries

Let $\mathbb{E}_s^m$ be the pseudo–Euclidean space equipped with the canonical pseudo–Euclidean metric of index s given by

$$\tilde{g} = \sum_{i=1}^{m-s} dx_i^2 - \sum_{j=m-s+1}^{m} dx_j^2, \tag{2.1}$$

where $(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m)$ is a rectangular coordinate system of $\mathbb{E}_s^m$.

A vector $v \in \mathbb{E}_s^m$ is called spacelike if $\langle v, v\rangle > 0$ or $v = 0$, timelike if $\langle v, v\rangle < 0$, and lightlike(or null) if $\langle v, v\rangle = 0$ and $v \neq 0$.

For $x_0 \in \mathbb{E}_s^m$ and c is a positive real number, we put

$$\mathbb{S}_s^{m-1}(x_0, c) = \left\{x = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m) \in \mathbb{E}_s^m \mid \langle x - x_0, x - x_0\rangle = c^{-1}\right\},$$
$$\mathbb{H}_{s-1}^{m-1}(x_0, -c) = \left\{x = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m) \in \mathbb{E}_s^m \mid \langle x - x_0, x - x_0\rangle = -c^{-1}\right\},$$

where $\langle , \rangle$ denotes the indefinite inner product on $\mathbb{E}_s^m$. Then, $\mathbb{S}_s^{m-1}(x_0, c)$ and $\mathbb{H}_{s-1}^{m-1}(x_0, -c)$ are complete pseudo–Riemannian manifolds of constant curvature c and $-c$ centered at x_0, called pseudo–sphere and pseudo–hyperbolic space, respectively. When x_0 is the origin and $c = 1$ we simply denote $\mathbb{S}_s^{m-1}(x_0, 1)$ and $\mathbb{H}_{s-1}^{m-1}(x_0, -1)$ by $\mathbb{S}_s^{m-1}(1)$ and $\mathbb{H}_{s-1}^{m-1}(-1)$. The manifolds $\mathbb{E}_1^m$, $\mathbb{S}_1^{m-1}$ and $\mathbb{H}_1^{m-1}$ are known as the Minkowski, de Sitter, and anti–de Sitter spaces, respectively.

The light cone $\mathcal{LC}$ in $\mathbb{E}_s^m$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{LC} = \{v \in \mathbb{E}_s^m \mid \langle v, v\rangle = 0\}. \tag{2.2}$$

Let M be an oriented n–dimensional pseudo–Riemannian submanifold in a pseudo–Euclidean space $\mathbb{E}_s^m$. We choose an oriented local orthonormal frame field $\{e_1, \ldots, e_m\}$ on M such that $e_1, \ldots, e_n$ are tangent to M, and $e_{n+1}, \ldots, e_m$ are normal to M with the signatures $\varepsilon_A = \langle e_A, e_A\rangle = \pm 1$, $A = 1, \ldots, m$. We use the following convention on the range of indices unless mentioned otherwise:

$$1 \leq A, B, C, \ldots \leq m,\ 1 \leq i, j, k, \ldots \leq n,\ n+1 \leq r, s, t, \ldots \leq m.$$

Let $\widetilde{\nabla}$ be the Levi–Civita connection of $\mathbb{E}_s^m$ and ∇ the induced connection on M. With respect to the frame field of M chosen above, let $\{\omega_A\}$ be the dual frame and $\{\omega_{AB}\}$ the connection forms associated to $\{e_A\}$ with $\omega_{AB}+\omega_{BA}=0$. Thus the Gauss and Weingarten formulas are given, respectively, by

$$\widetilde{\nabla}_{e_k} e_i = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \varepsilon_j \omega_{ij}(e_k) e_j + \sum_{r=n+1}^{m} \varepsilon_r h_{ik}^r e_r$$

and

$$\widetilde{\nabla}_{e_k} e_r = -A_r(e_k) + \sum_{s=n+1}^{m} \varepsilon_s \omega_{rs}(e_k) e_s,$$

where h_{ij}^r's are the coefficients of the second fundamental form h, and A_r is the Weingarten map in the direction e_r.

The mean curvature vector H, the squared length $\|h\|^2$ of the second fundamental form h and the scalar curvature S of M in $\mathbb{E}_s^m$ are defined, respectively, by

$$H = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{r=n+1}^{m} \varepsilon_r \mathrm{tr} A_r e_r, \tag{2.3}$$

$$\|h\|^2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \sum_{r=n+1}^{m} \varepsilon_i \varepsilon_j \varepsilon_r h_{ij}^r h_{ji}^r, \tag{2.4}$$

$$S = n^2 \langle H, H \rangle - \|h\|^2. \tag{2.5}$$

The Codazzi equation of M in $\mathbb{E}_s^m$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} &h_{ij,k}^r = h_{jk,i}^r, \\ &h_{jk,i}^r = e_i(h_{jk}^r) - \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \varepsilon_\ell \left(h_{\ell k}^r \omega_{j\ell}(e_i) + h_{\ell j}^r \omega_{k\ell}(e_i) \right) + \sum_{s=n+1}^{m} \varepsilon_s h_{jk}^s \omega_{sr}(e_i). \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

Also, from the Ricci equation of M in $\mathbb{E}_s^m$, we have

$$R^D(e_j, e_k; e_r, e_s) = \langle [A_r, A_s](e_j), e_k \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \varepsilon_i \left(h_{ik}^r h_{ij}^s - h_{ij}^r h_{ik}^s \right), \tag{2.7}$$

where R^D is the normal curvature tensor associated to the normal connection D defined by $D_{e_k} e_r = \sum_{s=n+1}^{m} \varepsilon_s \omega_{rs}(e_k) e_s$. A submanifold M in $\mathbb{E}_s^m$ is said to have flat normal bundle if R^D vanishes identically.

In particular, if M is immersed in the pseudo–sphere $\mathbb{S}_s^{m-1}(c) \subset \mathbb{E}_s^m$, we have

$$H = \hat{H} - c\mathbf{x}, \quad h(X,Y) = \hat{h}(X,Y) - c \langle X, Y \rangle \mathbf{x}, \tag{2.8}$$

where $\hat{H}$ and $\hat{h}$ are the mean curvature vector and the second fundamental form in $\mathbb{S}_s^{m-1}(c)$. Then, equation (2.5) becomes

$$S = cn(n-1) + n^2 |\hat{H}|^2 - \|\hat{h}\|^2. \tag{2.9}$$

Following [11] we can have the definition of finite type maps on pseudo–Riemannian submanifolds of pseudo–sphere or pseudo–hyperbolic space as follows:

Definition 2.1. *A smooth map $\phi : M_t \to \mathbb{S}_s^{m-1}(c) \subset \mathbb{E}_s^m$ (or resp. $\phi : M_t \to \mathbb{H}_{s-1}^{m-1}(-c) \subset \mathbb{E}_s^m$) from a pseudo–Riemannian submanifold M_t with index t into a pseudo–sphere $\mathbb{S}_s^{m-1}(c)$ (or resp. into a pseudo–hyperbolic space $\mathbb{H}_{s-1}^{m-1}(-c)$) is called of finite type in $\mathbb{S}_s^{m-1}(c)$ (resp. in $\mathbb{H}_{s-1}^{m-1}(-c)$) if the map ϕ has the following spectral decomposition:*

$$\phi = \phi_1 + \phi_2 + \cdots + \phi_k, \tag{2.10}$$

where ϕ_i's are nonconstant $\mathbb{E}_s^m$–valued maps on M_t such that $\Delta\phi_i = \lambda_{p_i}\phi_i$ with $\lambda_{p_i} \in \mathbb{R}$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k$. If the spectral decomposition (2.10) contains exactly k nonconstant maps, then the map ϕ is called of k–type, and when one of the λ_{p_i}'s vanishes, the map ϕ is called of null k–type.

Note that for a finite type map, one of the components in this spectral decomposition may still be constant.

For a finite type map on compact submanifold, the minimal polynomial criterion was given in [6]. However, if the manifold is not compact, then the existence of minimal polynomial does not imply that it is of finite type, [7]. A criteria for finite type maps was given in [12] as follows:

Theorem 2.2. *[12] Let $\phi : M_t \longrightarrow \mathbb{E}_s^m$ be a smooth map from a pseudo–Riemannian manifold M_t with index t into a pseudo-Euclidean space $\mathbb{E}_s^m$, and let $\tau = div(d\phi)$ be the tension field of ϕ. Then,*

i. If there is a nontrivial polynomial Q such that $Q(\Delta)\tau = 0$, then ϕ is either of infinite type or of finite type with type number $k \leq deg(Q) + 1$;

ii. If there is a nontrivial polynomial P with simple roots such that $P(\Delta)\tau = 0$, then P is of finite type with type number $k \leq deg(P)$.

3 Pseudo–spherical Gauss map

In this section, we give the details of the pseudo–spherical Gauss map mentioned in Introduction and study the pseudo–Riemannian submanifolds with harmonic pseudo–spherical Gauss map.

Let $\mathbf{x} : M_t \to \mathbb{S}_s^{m-1}(1) \subset \mathbb{E}_s^m$ be an isometric immersion from an n–dimensional pseudo–Riemannian manifold M_t with index t into a pseudo–sphere $\mathbb{S}_s^{m-1}(1) \subset \mathbb{E}_s^m$. The

map $\hat{\nu} : M_t \to G(n+1,m)$, called pseudo–spherical Gauss map in Obata's sense, of an immersion $\mathbf{x}$ into the Grassmannian manifold $G(n+1,m)$ assigns to each point $p \in M_t$ the great pseudo n–subsphere $\mathbb{S}^n_t(1)$ of $\mathbb{S}^{m-1}_s(1)$ tangent to $\mathbf{x}(M_t)$ at $\mathbf{x}(p)$. The great pseudo n–subsphere $\mathbb{S}^n_t(1)$ of $\mathbb{S}^{m-1}_s(1)$ are naturally identified with the Grassmannian manifold of oriented $(n+1)$–planes through the center of $\mathbb{S}^{m-1}_s(1)$ in $\mathbb{E}^m_s$ since such $(n+1)$–planes determine unique great pseudo n–subsphere and conversely.

On the other hand, since the Grassmannian manifold $G(n+1,m)$ can be canonically imbedded in a pseudo–Euclidean space $\bigwedge^{n+1}\mathbb{E}^m_s \cong \mathbb{E}^N_q$ obtained by the exterior products of $n+1$ vectors in $\mathbb{E}^m_s$ for some positive integer q, the composite $\tilde{\nu}$ of $\hat{\nu}$ followed by the natural inclusion of $G(n+1,m)$ in $\mathbb{E}^N_q$ is also called the pseudo–spherical Gauss map, where $N = \binom{m}{n+1}$.

For each point $p \in M_t$, let $e_1, \ldots, e_n$ be an orthonormal basis of T_pM_t with the signatures $\varepsilon_i = \langle e_i, e_i\rangle = \pm 1,\ i = 1, \ldots, n$. Then, the $n+1$ vectors $\mathbf{x}(p), e_1, \ldots, e_n$ determine a linear $(n+1)$–subspace in $\mathbb{E}^m_s$. The intersection of this linear subspace and $\mathbb{S}^{m-1}_s(1)$ is a totally geodesic pseudo n–sphere $\mathbb{S}^n_t(1)$ determined by T_pM_t.

Let $\mathbb{E}^m_s$ be a pseudo–Euclidean space with two orthonormal bases $\{f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_m\}$ and $\{g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_m\}$. Let $f_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge f_{i_{n+1}}$ and $g_{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge g_{j_{n+1}}$ be two vectors in $\bigwedge^{n+1}\mathbb{E}^m_s$. Define an indefinite inner product $\langle\langle , \rangle\rangle$ on $\bigwedge^{n+1}\mathbb{E}^m_s$ by

$$\left\langle\left\langle f_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge f_{i_{n+1}}, g_{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge g_{j_{n+1}}\right\rangle\right\rangle = \det(\langle f_{i_\ell}, g_{j_k}\rangle). \tag{3.1}$$

Therefore, we may identify $\bigwedge^{n+1}\mathbb{E}^m_s$ with some pseudo–Euclidean space $\mathbb{E}^N_q$ for some positive integer q, where $N = \binom{m}{n+1}$.

For an immersion $\mathbf{x} : M_t \to \mathbb{S}^{m-1}_s(1) \subset \mathbb{E}^m_s$, the pseudo–spherical Gauss map in the Obata's sense can be considered as $\hat{\nu} : M_t \to G(n+1,m)$ which carries each $p \in M_t$ to $\hat{\nu}(p) = (\mathbf{x}\wedge e_1\wedge\cdots\wedge e_n)(p)$. Since $\langle\langle\hat{\nu},\hat{\nu}\rangle\rangle = \varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2\cdots\varepsilon_n = \pm 1$, $G(n+1,m)$ is a submanifold of $\mathbb{S}^{N-1}_q(1) \subset \mathbb{E}^N_q$ or $\mathbb{H}^{N-1}_{q-1}(-1) \subset \mathbb{E}^N_q$. Thus, considering the natural inclusion of $G(n+1,m)$ into $\mathbb{E}^N_q$, the pseudo–spherical Gauss map $\tilde{\nu}$ associated with $\mathbf{x}$ is given by

$$\tilde{\nu} = \mathbf{x} \wedge e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_n : M_t \to G(n+1,m) \subset \mathbb{E}^N_q. \tag{3.2}$$

Now, by differentiating $\tilde{\nu}$ in (3.2) we have

$$e_i\tilde{\nu} = \sum_{k=1}^{n}\sum_{r=n+1}^{m-1} \varepsilon_r h^r_{ik}\mathbf{x} \wedge e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \underbrace{e_r}_{k-th} \wedge \cdots e_n. \tag{3.3}$$

Using $\nabla_{e_i} e_i = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \varepsilon_j \omega_{ij}(e_i) e_j$ and (3.3), we obtain

$$(\nabla_{e_i} e_i)\,\tilde{\nu} = \sum_{j,k=1}^{n} \sum_{r=n+1}^{m-1} \varepsilon_j \varepsilon_r h^r_{jk} \omega_{ij}(e_i) \mathbf{x} \wedge e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \underbrace{e_r}_{k-th} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_n. \tag{3.4}$$

Since the Laplacian of $\tilde{\nu}$ is defined by

$$\Delta\tilde{\nu} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \varepsilon_i (\nabla_{e_i} e_i - e_i e_i)\tilde{\nu}, \tag{3.5}$$

considering equations (3.3), (3.4) and the Codazzi equation (2.6) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\tilde{\nu} =& \|h\|^2 \tilde{\nu} + nH \wedge e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_n \\ & - n \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbf{x} \wedge e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \underbrace{D_{e_k} H}_{k-th} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_n \\ & - \sum_{\substack{i,j,k=1 \\ j \neq k}}^{n} \sum_{r,s=n+1}^{m-1} \varepsilon_i \varepsilon_r \varepsilon_s h^r_{ik} h^s_{ij} \mathbf{x} \wedge e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \underbrace{e_s}_{j-th} \wedge \cdots \wedge \underbrace{e_r}_{k-th} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_n, \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

where D is the normal connection induced on M_t.

Therefore, by using (2.7) and (2.8) in (3.6) we have

Lemma 3.1. *Let M_t be an n–dimensional oriented pseudo–Riemannian submanifold with index t of a pseudo $(m-1)$–sphere $\mathbb{S}^{m-1}_s(1) \subset \mathbb{E}^m_s$. Then the Laplacian of the pseudo–spherical Gauss map $\tilde{\nu} : M_t \to G(n+1, m) \subset \mathbb{E}^N_q$, $N = \binom{m}{n+1}$, for some q is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\tilde{\nu} =& \|\hat{h}\|^2 \tilde{\nu} + n\hat{H} \wedge e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_n - n \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbf{x} \wedge e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \underbrace{D_{e_k} \hat{H}}_{k-th} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_n \\ & + \sum_{\substack{j,k=1 \\ j \neq k}}^{n} \sum_{\substack{r,s=n+1, \\ r<s}}^{m-1} \varepsilon_r \varepsilon_s R^r_{sjk} \mathbf{x} \wedge e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \underbrace{e_r}_{j-th} \wedge \cdots \wedge \underbrace{e_s}_{k-th} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_n, \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

where $R^r_{sjk} = R^D(e_j, e_k; e_r, e_s)$.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $\mathbf{x} : (M_t, g) \longrightarrow \mathbb{S}^{m-1}_s(1) \subset \mathbb{E}^m_s$ be an isometric immersion from an n–dimensional pseudo-Riemannian manifold M_t with the metric g and index t into a pseudo-sphere $\mathbb{S}^{m-1}_s(1) \subset \mathbb{E}^m_s$. Then we have the following statements:*

i. The pseudo-spherical Gauss map in the Obata's sense $\hat{\nu} : (M_t, g) \longrightarrow G(n+1, m)$ is a harmonic map if and only if M_t has zero mean curvature in $\mathbb{S}^{m-1}_s(1)$;

ii. The pseudo-spherical Gauss map $\tilde{\nu} : (M_t, g) \longrightarrow \mathbb{E}^N_q$ with $N = \binom{m}{n+1}$ and some positive integer q is harmonic if and only if M_t has zero mean curvature in $\mathbb{S}^{m-1}_s(1)$, flat normal connection and constant scalar curvature $S = n(n-1)$.

The proof of i. is similar to the Proposition 3.2 given in [6], and the proof of ii. comes from equation (3.7) directly. Also, it can be seen that $\|\hat{h}\|^2$ could be zero even if $\hat{h} \neq 0$. Thus, submanifold with harmonic pseudo–spherical Gauss map does not need to be totally geodesic in general.

Now, we will discuss spacelike surfaces and Lorentzian surfaces in $\mathbb{S}_s^4$, $s = 1, 2$, with harmonic pseudo–spherical Gauss map.

From part ii of Proposition 3.1 we have

Corollary 3.2. *A spacelike surface M in $\mathbb{S}_2^4(1) \subset \mathbb{E}_2^5$ has harmonic pseudo-spherical Gauss map if and only if M is a totally geodesic surface in $\mathbb{S}_2^4(1)$.*

In [5], B.-Y. Chen gave the classification of all minimal Lorentz surfaces of constant Gaussian curvature one in the pseudo–sphere with arbitrary dimension and index. In the given classification theorem there are 3 surfaces in which one of them has nonflat normal bundle. Therefore by using Proposition 5.1 in [5] and Proposition 3.1 we state

Theorem 3.3. *A Lorentzian surface M_1 in $\mathbb{S}_s^4(1)$, $s = 1, 2$, has harmonic pseudo spherical–Gauss map if and only if one of the following cases occurs:*

i. M_1 is an open portion of the totally geodesic de Sitter space $\mathbb{S}_1^2(1) \subset \mathbb{S}_s^4(1)$,

ii. The immersion $L : M_1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{S}_s^4(1) \subset \mathbb{E}_s^5$ is given by

$$L(u, v) = \frac{z(u)}{u + v} - \frac{z'(u)}{2}, \tag{3.8}$$

where $z(u)$ is a spacelike curve with constant speed 2 lying in the light cone $\mathcal{LC}$ satisfying $\langle z'', z'' \rangle = 0$ and $z''' \neq 0$.

4 Submanifolds with 1–type pseudo–spherical Gauss map

In this section, we classify pseudo–spherical submanifolds in $\mathbb{S}_s^{m-1}(1) \subset \mathbb{E}_s^m$ with 1–type pseudo–spherical Gauss map.

Theorem 4.1. *A pseudo–Riemannian submanifold M_t with index t of $\mathbb{S}_s^{m-1}(1) \subset \mathbb{E}_s^m$ has 1–type pseudo–spherical Gauss map if and only if M_t has zero mean curvature in $\mathbb{S}_s^{m-1}(1) \subset \mathbb{E}_s^m$, constant scalar curvature and flat normal connection.*

Proof. If the pseudo–spherical submanifold of $\mathbb{S}_s^{m-1}(1) \subset \mathbb{E}_s^m$ has 1–type pseudo–spherical Gauss map $\tilde{\nu}$, then $\Delta\tilde{\nu}$ and $\tilde{\nu}$ are proportional. Thus, we see from (3.7) that $\tilde{\nu}$

is of 1–type if and only if $\hat{H} = R^r_{sjk} = 0$ and $\|\hat{h}\|^2$ is constant. Hence, from (2.9) it is seen that the scalar curvature is constant. □

The standard imbedding of $\mathbb{S}^1(2) \times \mathbb{S}^1(2)$ in $\mathbb{S}^3(1)$ is called the *Clifford torus*. It is well known that the Clifford torus is flat and minimal in $\mathbb{S}^3(1)$.

Theorem 4.2. *A non–totally geodesic spacelike surface M in $\mathbb{S}^4_1(1) \subset \mathbb{E}^5_1$ has 1–type pseudo–spherical Gauss map if and only if it is an open portion of the Clifford torus lying fully in a totally geodesic 3–sphere $\mathbb{S}^3(1) \subset \mathbb{S}^4_1(1)$.*

Proof. Suppose that a spacelike surface in $\mathbb{S}^4_1(1) \subset \mathbb{E}^5_1$ has 1–type pseudo–spherical Gauss map. Following the result given in [13] and Theorem 4.1 it is seen that the only maximal surface of $\mathbb{S}^4_1(1)$ with constant Gaussian curvature and flat normal connection is an open portion of the Clifford torus.

Conversely, suppose that M is an open portion the Clifford torus in $\mathbb{S}^3(1) \subset \mathbb{S}^4_1(1)$. Then it is easy to show that the pseudo–spherical Gauss map of the Clifford torus satisfies $\Delta\tilde{\nu} = 2\tilde{\nu}$. Thus, it has 1–type pseudo–spherical Gauss map. □

The standard imbedding of $\mathbb{S}^1(2) \times \mathbb{S}^1_1(2)$ in $\mathbb{S}^3_1(1)$ is called the *pseudo–Riemannian Clifford torus*. We can imbed the pseudo–Riemannian Clifford torus in $\mathbb{S}^4_1(1)$ and give a parametrization in $\mathbb{S}^4_1(1)$ as follows:

$$r(u,v) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(0, \cos u, \sin u, \cosh v, \sinh v). \tag{4.1}$$

By a direct calculation, we obtain that its pseudo–spherical Gauss map $\tilde{\nu}$ satisfies $\Delta\tilde{\nu} = 2\tilde{\nu}$. Note that this surface has zero mean curvature in $\mathbb{S}^4_1(1)$, and the Gaussian and normal curvatures are zero.

Similarly, considering the result given in [13] and Theorem 4.1 we can give the following classification theorem for Lorentzian surfaces in $\mathbb{S}^4_1(1) \subset \mathbb{E}^5_1$ with 1–type pseudo–spherical Gauss map.

Theorem 4.3. *A non–totally geodesic Lorentzian surface M_1 in $\mathbb{S}^4_1(1) \subset \mathbb{E}^5_1$ has 1–type pseudo–spherical Gauss map if and only if it is an open portion of the pseudo–Riemannian Clifford torus lying fully in a totally geodesic pseudo–sphere $\mathbb{S}^3_1(1) \subset \mathbb{S}^4_1(1)$.*

Now, we discuss the pseudo–spherical submanifolds with 1–type pseudo–spherical Gauss map such that its spectral decomposition contains a nonzero constant component. For later use, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 4.4. *For a pseudo–Riemannian hypersurface M_t with index t of $\mathbb{S}_s^{n+1}(1) \subset \mathbb{E}_s^{n+2}$ we have*

$$\Delta(e_{n+1} \wedge e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_n) = n\hat{\alpha}\tilde{\nu} + ne_{n+1} \wedge e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_n, \tag{4.2}$$

where $\hat{\alpha}$ is the mean curvature of M_t in $\mathbb{S}_s^{n+1}(1)$.

Proof. Let M_t be a pseudo–Riemannian hypersurface with index t in $\mathbb{S}_s^{n+1}(1) \subset \mathbb{E}_s^{n+2}$. Let $e_1, \ldots, e_{n+1}, e_{n+2}$ be a local orthonormal frame field on M_t in $\mathbb{E}_s^{n+2}$ such that $e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n$ are tangent to M_t, and $e_{n+1}, e_{n+2} = \mathbf{x}$ are normal to M_t, where $\mathbf{x}$ is the position vector of M_t. Since $e_{n+2} = \mathbf{x}$ is parallel in the normal bundle of M_t in $\mathbb{E}_s^{n+2}$ and the codimension of M_t in $\mathbb{E}_s^{n+2}$ is two, e_{n+1} is parallel too, i.e., $De_{n+1} = 0$. We put $\bar{\nu} = e_{n+1} \wedge e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_n$. Now we will compute $\Delta\bar{\nu}$. By differentiating $\bar{\nu}$ we get

$$e_i\bar{\nu} = -\varepsilon_i e_{n+1} \wedge e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i-1} \wedge \mathbf{x} \wedge e_{i+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_n. \tag{4.3}$$

Since $\nabla_{e_i} e_i = \sum_{j=1}^n \varepsilon_j \omega_{ij}(e_i) e_j$, we have

$$(\nabla_{e_i} e_i)\bar{\nu} = -\sum_{j=1}^n \omega_{ij}(e_i)\, e_{n+1} \wedge e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{j-1} \wedge \mathbf{x} \wedge e_{j+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_n. \tag{4.4}$$

Differentiating $e_i\bar{\nu}$ in (4.3) we obtain that

$$e_i e_i \bar{\nu} = -\varepsilon_i \bar{\nu} - h_{ii}^{n+1}\tilde{\nu} + \sum_{j=1}^n \omega_{ji}(e_i) e_{n+1} \wedge e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \underbrace{\mathbf{x}}_{j-th} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_n. \tag{4.5}$$

Considering $n\hat{\alpha} = \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i h_{ii}^{n+1}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\bar{\nu} =& \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i (\nabla_{e_i} e_i - e_i e_i)\bar{\nu} \\ =& n\hat{\alpha}\tilde{\nu} + n\bar{\nu} - \sum_{i,j=1}^n \varepsilon_i (\omega_{ij}(e_i) + \omega_{ji}(e_i))\, e_{n+1} \wedge e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \underbrace{\mathbf{x}}_{j-th} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_n \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

which gives (4.2) as $\omega_{ij} + \omega_{ji} = 0$. □

Example 4.5. [16](Totally umbilical hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{S}_s^{n+1}$)

Totally umbilical hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{S}_s^{n+1}$ are obtained as the intersection of $\mathbb{S}_s^{n+1}$ with a hyperplane of $\mathbb{E}_q^{n+2}$, and the causal character of the hyperplane determines the type of the hypersurface. Let $a \in \mathbb{E}_q^{n+2}$ be a nonzero constant vector with $\langle a, a\rangle \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$. For every $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\langle a, a\rangle - \tau^2 \neq 0$, the set

$$M_t = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{S}_s^{n+1} : \langle \mathbf{x}, a\rangle = \tau\}$$

is a totally umbilical hypersurface with the unit normal vector in $\mathbb{S}_s^{n+1} \subset \mathbb{E}_s^{n+2}$

$$N = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\langle a, a\rangle - \tau^2|}}(a - \tau \mathbf{x}),$$

and the shape operator

$$A_N = \frac{\tau}{\sqrt{|\langle a, a\rangle - \tau^2|}} I_t, \tag{4.7}$$

where I_t is the identity transformation on $T_p M_t$ at $p \in M_t$.

Then, M_t has constant curvature

$$K = 1 + \frac{\tau^2}{\langle a, a\rangle - \tau^2}.$$

Hence, there are three different possibilities according to the causal character of the hyperplane as seen below:

(i). If $\langle a, a\rangle = -1$, then $M_{t-1} = \mathbb{S}_{t-1}^n\left(\frac{1}{1+\tau^2}\right) \subset \mathbb{S}_t^{n+1}$ is a pseudo n–sphere of constant curvature $\frac{1}{1+\tau^2}$ for every τ.

(ii). Let $\langle a, a\rangle = 1$. For $|\tau| < 1$, $M_t = \mathbb{S}_t^n\left(\frac{1}{1-\tau^2}\right) \subset \mathbb{S}_t^{n+1}$ is a pseudo n–sphere of constant curvature $\frac{1}{1-\tau^2}$. For $|\tau| > 1$, $M_{t-1} = \mathbb{H}_{t-1}^n\left(-\frac{1}{1-\tau^2}\right) \subset \mathbb{S}_t^{n+1}$ is a pseudo–hyperbolic n–space of constant curvature $\frac{1}{\tau^2-1}$.

(iii). If $\langle a, a\rangle = 0$, then M_t is a flat totally umbilical hypersurface in $\mathbb{S}_{t+1}^{n+1}$ which is called the pseudo–horosphere in $\mathbb{S}_{t+1}^{n+1}$. It follows from (4.7) that M_t has constant mean curvature $\hat{\alpha}$ with $|\hat{\alpha}| = 1$.

It is seen from the above example that there are totally umbilical hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{S}_s^{n+1}$ which are flat or non–totally geodesic.

Theorem 4.6. *An n–dimensional pseudo–Riemannian submanifold M_t with index t and non–null mean curvature vector of a pseudo–sphere $\mathbb{S}_s^{m-1}(1) \subset \mathbb{E}_s^m$ has 1–type pseudo-spherical Gauss map with a nonzero constant component in its spectral decomposition if and only if M_t is an open part of a non–flat, non–totally geodesic and totally umbilical pseudo–Riemannian hypersurface of a totally geodesic pseudo–sphere $\mathbb{S}_{s^*}^{n+1}(1) \subset \mathbb{S}_s^{m-1}(1)$, ($s^* = t \leq s$ or $s^* = t+1 \leq s$), that is, it is an open portion of $\mathbb{S}_t^n(c) \subset \mathbb{S}_t^{n+1}(1)$ of curvature c for $c > 1$ or $\mathbb{S}_t^n(c) \subset \mathbb{S}_{t+1}^{n+1}(1)$ of curvature c for $0 < c < 1$ or $\mathbb{H}_t^n(-c) \subset \mathbb{S}_{t+1}^{n+1}(1)$ of curvature $-c$ for $c > 0$.*

Proof. Let $\mathbf{x} : M_t \longrightarrow \mathbb{S}_s^{m-1}(1) \subset \mathbb{E}_s^m$ be an isometric immersion of an n–dimensional pseudo–Riemannian manifold M_t with non–null mean curvature vector $\hat{H}$ in $\mathbb{S}_s^{m-1}(1)$ into

$\mathbb{S}_s^{m-1}(1) \subset \mathbb{E}_s^m$. If the pseudo–spherical Gauss map $\tilde{\nu}$ is of 1–type with nonzero constant component in its spectral decomposition, then $\Delta\tilde{\nu} = \lambda_p(\tilde{\nu} - c)$ for some nonzero constant vector c and a nonzero real number λ_p Thus we have

$$(\Delta\tilde{\nu})_i = \lambda_p(\tilde{\nu})_i, \tag{4.8}$$

where $(\cdot)_i = e_i(\cdot)$. On the other hand, by a direct long calculation, we get from (3.7) that

$$\begin{aligned}
e_i(\Delta\tilde{\nu}) &= (\|\hat{h}\|^2)_i\tilde{\nu} \\
&\quad + \|\hat{h}\|^2 \sum_{r=n+1}^{m-1}\sum_{k=1}^{n} \varepsilon_r h_{ik}^r \mathbf{x} \wedge e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{k-1} \wedge e_r \wedge e_{k+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_n \\
&\quad + 2nD_{e_i}\hat{H} \wedge e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_n + n\sum_{k=1}^{n}\sum_{r=n+1}^{m-1} \varepsilon_r h_{ik}^r \hat{H} \wedge e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \underbrace{e_r}_{k-th} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_n \\
&\quad - n\sum_{k=1}^{n} \varepsilon_i \delta_{ik} \hat{H} \wedge e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{k-1} \wedge \mathbf{x} \wedge e_{k+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_n \\
&\quad - n \sum_{\substack{j,k,\ell=1 \\ j\neq k}}^{n} \varepsilon_\ell \omega_{j\ell}(e_i)\mathbf{x} \wedge e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \underbrace{e_\ell}_{j-th} \wedge \cdots \wedge \underbrace{D_{e_k}\hat{H}}_{k-th} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_n \\
&\quad - n \sum_{\substack{j,k=1 \\ j\neq k}}^{n}\sum_{r=n+1}^{m-1} \varepsilon_r h_{ij}^r \mathbf{x} \wedge e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \underbrace{e_r}_{j-th} \wedge \cdots \wedge \underbrace{D_{e_k}\hat{H}}_{k-th} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_n \\
&\quad + n\sum_{k=1}^{n} \varepsilon_k \langle A_{D_{e_k}\hat{H}}(e_i), e_k\rangle \mathbf{x} \wedge e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_n \\
&\quad - n\sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbf{x} \wedge e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \underbrace{D_{e_i}D_{e_k}\hat{H}}_{k-th} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_n \\
&\quad + \sum_{\substack{r,s=n+1 \\ r<s}}^{m-1}\sum_{\substack{j,k=1 \\ j\neq k}}^{n} \varepsilon_r\varepsilon_s \{e_i(R_{sjk}^r)\mathbf{x} + R_{sjk}^r e_i\} \wedge e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \underbrace{e_r}_{j-th} \wedge \cdots \wedge \underbrace{e_s}_{k-th} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_n \\
&\quad + \sum_{\substack{r,s=n+1 \\ r<s}}^{m-1}\sum_{\substack{j,k,\ell=1 \\ j,k,\ell\neq}}^{n} \varepsilon_r\varepsilon_s R_{sjk}^r \Big\{ \sum_{h=1}^{n} \varepsilon_h \omega_{\ell h}(e_i)\mathbf{x} \wedge e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \underbrace{e_h}_{\ell-th} \wedge \cdots \wedge \underbrace{e_r}_{j-th} \wedge \cdots \wedge \underbrace{e_s}_{k-th} \\
&\qquad \wedge \cdots \wedge e_n + \sum_{t=n+1}^{m-1} \varepsilon_t h_{i\ell}^t \mathbf{x} \wedge e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \underbrace{e_t}_{\ell-th} \wedge \cdots \wedge \underbrace{e_r}_{j-th} \wedge \cdots \wedge \underbrace{e_s}_{k-th} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_n \Big\} \\
&\quad + \sum_{r,s=n+1}^{m-1}\sum_{\substack{j,k,\ell=1 \\ j\neq k}}^{n} \varepsilon_\ell\varepsilon_r\varepsilon_s R_{sjk}^r h_{i\ell}^s \mathbf{x} \wedge e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \underbrace{e_\ell}_{j-th} \wedge \cdots \wedge \underbrace{e_r}_{k-th} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_n \\
&\quad - \sum_{r,s,t=n+1}^{m-1}\sum_{\substack{j,k=1 \\ j\neq k}}^{n} \varepsilon_r\varepsilon_s\varepsilon_t R_{sjk}^r \omega_{st}(e_i)\mathbf{x} \wedge e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \underbrace{e_t}_{j-th} \wedge \cdots \wedge \underbrace{e_r}_{k-th} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_n.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

Case (a): $\hat{H}=0$. So, equation (4.9) reduces to

$$
\begin{aligned}
e_i(\Delta\tilde{\nu}) &= (\|\hat{h}\|^2)_i\tilde{\nu}+\|\hat{h}\|^2\sum_{r=n+1}^{m-1}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\varepsilon_r h^r_{ik}\mathbf{x}\wedge e_1\wedge\cdots\wedge\underbrace{e_r}_{k-th}\wedge\cdots\wedge e_n\\
&+\sum_{\substack{r,s=n+1\\ r<s}}^{m-1}\sum_{\substack{j,k=1\\ j\neq k}}^{n}\varepsilon_r\varepsilon_s\{e_i(R^r_{sjk})\mathbf{x}+R^r_{sjk}e_i\}\wedge e_1\wedge\cdots\wedge\underbrace{e_r}_{j-th}\wedge\cdots\wedge\underbrace{e_s}_{k-th}\wedge\cdots\wedge e_n\\
&+\sum_{\substack{r,s=n+1\\ r<s}}^{m-1}\sum_{\substack{j,k,\ell\\ j,k,\ell\neq}}^{n}\varepsilon_r\varepsilon_s R^r_{sjk}\Big\{\sum_{h=1}^{n}\varepsilon_h\omega_{\ell h}(e_i)\mathbf{x}\wedge e_1\wedge\cdots\wedge\underbrace{e_h}_{\ell-th}\wedge\cdots\wedge\underbrace{e_r}_{j-th}\wedge\cdots\wedge\underbrace{e_s}_{k-th}\\
&\quad\wedge\cdots\wedge e_n+\sum_{t=n+1}^{m-1}\varepsilon_t h^t_{i\ell}\mathbf{x}\wedge e_1\wedge\cdots\wedge\underbrace{e_t}_{\ell-th}\wedge\cdots\wedge\underbrace{e_r}_{j-th}\wedge\cdots\wedge\underbrace{e_s}_{k-th}\wedge\cdots\wedge e_n\Big\}\\
&+\sum_{r,s=n+1}^{m-1}\sum_{\substack{j,k,\ell=1\\ j\neq k}}^{n}\varepsilon_\ell\varepsilon_r\varepsilon_s R^r_{sjk}h^s_{i\ell}\mathbf{x}\wedge e_1\wedge\cdots\wedge\underbrace{e_\ell}_{j-th}\wedge\cdots\wedge\underbrace{e_r}_{k-th}\wedge\cdots\wedge e_n\\
&-\sum_{r,s,t=n+1}^{m-1}\sum_{\substack{j,k=1\\ j\neq k}}^{n}\varepsilon_t\varepsilon_r\varepsilon_s R^r_{sjk}\omega_{st}(e_i)\mathbf{x}\wedge e_1\wedge\cdots\wedge\underbrace{e_t}_{j-th}\wedge\cdots\wedge\underbrace{e_r}_{k-th}\wedge\cdots\wedge e_n. \qquad (4.10)
\end{aligned}
$$

Comparing (3.3), (4.8) and (4.10) we can see that $(\|\hat{h}\|^2)_i = R^r_{sjk} = 0$ for all $i,j,k = 1,2,\ldots,n$ and $r,s = n+1,\ldots,m-1$. Thus, according to Theorem 4.1 the pseudo–spherical Gauss map $\tilde{\nu}$ of a pseudo–Riemannian submanifold M_t is of 1–type with $c=0$ which is a contradiction.

Case (b): $\hat{H}\neq 0$. Since the term $D_{e_i}\hat{H}\wedge e_1\wedge\cdots\wedge e_n$ appears only in $e_i(\Delta\tilde{\nu})$, not in $e_i(\tilde{\nu})$, we obtain from (3.3), (4.8) and (4.9) that $D\hat{H}=0$ which implies that M_t has parallel mean curvature vector $\hat{H}$ in $\mathbb{S}^{m-1}_s(1)$, and hence, $\langle\hat{H},\hat{H}\rangle$ is a nonzero constant.

Thus, equation (4.9) reduces to

$$
\begin{aligned}
e_i(\Delta\tilde{\nu}) &= (\|\hat{h}\|^2)_i\tilde{\nu} + \|\hat{h}\|^2 \sum_{r=n+1}^{m-1}\sum_{k=1}^{n} \varepsilon_r h^r_{ik}\mathbf{x}\wedge e_1\wedge\cdots\wedge \underbrace{e_r}_{k-th}\wedge\cdots\wedge e_n \\
&\quad + n\sum_{k=1}^{n}\sum_{r=n+1}^{m-1} \varepsilon_r h^r_{ik}\hat{H}\wedge e_1\wedge\cdots\wedge e_{k-1}\wedge e_r\wedge e_{k+1}\wedge\cdots\wedge e_n \\
&\quad - n\sum_{k=1}^{n} \varepsilon_i\delta_{ik}\hat{H}\wedge e_1\wedge\cdots\wedge e_{k-1}\wedge \mathbf{x}\wedge e_{k+1}\wedge\cdots\wedge e_n \\
&\quad + \sum_{\substack{r,s=n+1\\ r<s}}^{m-1}\sum_{\substack{j,k=1\\ j\neq k}}^{n} \varepsilon_r\varepsilon_s\{e_i(R^r_{sjk})\mathbf{x} + R^r_{sjk}e_i\}\wedge e_1\wedge\cdots\wedge \underbrace{e_r}_{j-th}\wedge\cdots\wedge \underbrace{e_s}_{k-th}\wedge\cdots\wedge e_n \\
&\quad + \sum_{\substack{r,s=n+1\\ r<s}}^{m-1}\sum_{\substack{j,k,\ell\\ j,k,\ell\neq}}^{n} \varepsilon_r\varepsilon_s R^r_{sjk}\Big\{\sum_{h=1}^{n}\varepsilon_h\omega_{\ell h}(e_i)\mathbf{x}\wedge e_1\wedge\cdots\wedge \underbrace{e_h}_{\ell-th}\wedge\cdots\wedge \underbrace{e_r}_{j-th}\wedge\cdots\wedge \underbrace{e_s}_{k-th} \\
&\qquad \wedge\cdots\wedge e_n + \sum_{t=n+1}^{m-1}\varepsilon_t h^t_{i\ell}\mathbf{x}\wedge e_1\wedge\cdots\wedge \underbrace{e_t}_{\ell-th}\wedge\cdots\wedge \underbrace{e_r}_{j-th}\wedge\cdots\wedge \underbrace{e_s}_{k-th}\wedge\cdots\wedge e_n\Big\} \\
&\quad + \sum_{r,s=n+1}^{m-1}\sum_{\substack{j,k,\ell=1\\ j\neq k}}^{n} \varepsilon_\ell\varepsilon_r\varepsilon_s R^r_{sjk}h^s_{i\ell}\mathbf{x}\wedge e_1\wedge\cdots\wedge \underbrace{e_\ell}_{j-th}\wedge\cdots\wedge \underbrace{e_r}_{k-th}\wedge\cdots\wedge e_n \\
&\quad - \sum_{r,s,t=n+1}^{m-1}\sum_{\substack{j,k=1\\ j\neq k}}^{n} \varepsilon_r\varepsilon_s\varepsilon_t R^r_{sjk}\omega_{st}(e_i)\mathbf{x}\wedge e_1\wedge\cdots\wedge \underbrace{e_t}_{j-th}\wedge\cdots\wedge \underbrace{e_r}_{k-th}\wedge\cdots\wedge e_n. \qquad (4.11)
\end{aligned}
$$

From (3.3), (4.8) and (4.11) we obtain that $\|\hat{h}\|^2$ is constant which means that the scalar curvature of M_t is constant. Also, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\|\hat{h}\|^2 \sum_{r=n+1}^{m-1}\sum_{k=1}^{n} \varepsilon_r h^r_{ik}\mathbf{x}\wedge e_1\wedge\cdots\wedge e_{k-1}\wedge e_r\wedge e_{k+1}\wedge\cdots\wedge e_n \\
&- n\sum_{k=1}^{n} \varepsilon_i\delta_{ik}\hat{H}\wedge e_1\wedge\cdots\wedge e_{k-1}\wedge \mathbf{x}\wedge e_{k+1}\wedge\cdots\wedge e_n \\
&+ \sum_{r,s=n+1}^{m-1}\sum_{\substack{j,k,\ell=1\\ j\neq k}}^{n} \varepsilon_\ell\varepsilon_r\varepsilon_s R^r_{sjk}h^s_{i\ell}\mathbf{x}\wedge e_1\wedge\cdots\wedge \underbrace{e_\ell}_{j-th}\wedge\cdots\wedge \underbrace{e_r}_{k-th}\wedge\cdots\wedge e_n \\
&= \lambda_p \sum_{r=n+1}^{m-1}\sum_{k=1}^{n} \varepsilon_r h^r_{ik}\mathbf{x}\wedge e_1\wedge\cdots\wedge e_{k-1}\wedge e_r\wedge e_{k+1}\wedge\cdots\wedge e_n \qquad (4.12)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$n\sum_{k=1}^{n}\sum_{r=n+1}^{m-1}\varepsilon_r h^r_{ik}\hat{H}\wedge e_1\wedge\cdots\wedge e_{k-1}\wedge e_r\wedge e_{k+1}\wedge\cdots\wedge e_n$$
$$+\sum_{\substack{r,s=n+1\\ r<s}}^{m-1}\sum_{\substack{j,k=1\\ j\neq k}}^{n}\varepsilon_r\varepsilon_s R^r_{sjk}e_i\wedge e_1\wedge\cdots\wedge\underbrace{e_r}_{j-th}\wedge\cdots\wedge\underbrace{e_s}_{k-th}\wedge\cdots\wedge e_n=0. \tag{4.13}$$

We put $\hat{H}=\varepsilon_{n+1}\hat{\alpha}e_{n+1}$. It follows from (4.13) that $R^r_{sjk}=0$ for $r,s\geq n+2$ and $j,k=1,\dots n$. Also, we find $R^{n+1}_{sjk}=0$ from $D\hat{H}=0$. Thus, the normal connection of M_t in $\mathbb{S}^{m-1}_s(1)$ is flat. Therefore, (4.13) yields

$$n\varepsilon_{n+1}\hat{\alpha}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\sum_{r=n+1}^{m-1}\varepsilon_r h^r_{ik}e_{n+1}\wedge e_1\wedge\cdots\wedge e_{k-1}\wedge e_r\wedge e_{k+1}\wedge\cdots\wedge e_n=0 \tag{4.14}$$

which gives $h^r_{ik}=0$ for $r\geq n+2$ and $i,k=1,2,\dots,n$, and hence the first normal space Imh is spanned by e_{n+1}. Therefore, by the reduction theorem of Erbarcher, we conclude that M_t is contained in a totally geodesic pseudo–sphere $\mathbb{S}^{n+1}_{s^*}(1)$ in $\mathbb{S}^{m-1}_s(1)\subset\mathbb{E}^m_s$ for $s^*=t$ or $s^*=t+1$. Also, from (4.12) we have

$$\varepsilon_i(\|\hat{h}\|^2-\lambda_p)h^{n+1}_{ik}+n\delta_{ik}\hat{\alpha}=0 \tag{4.15}$$

for $i,k=1,2,\dots n$. If $\lambda_p=\|\hat{h}\|^2$, then (4.15) gives $\hat{\alpha}=0$ which is a contradiction. So, $\lambda_p\neq\|\hat{h}\|^2$, and by taking the sum of (4.15) for $i=k$ and i from 1 up to n we get $n\hat{\alpha}(n+\|\hat{h}\|^2-\lambda_p)=0$ which gives $\lambda_p=n+\|\hat{h}\|^2$. Thus, we get from (4.15) that $h^{n+1}_{ii}=\varepsilon_i\hat{\alpha}\neq 0$ and $h^{n+1}_{ik}=0$ for $i\neq k$. Therefore, M_t is a non–totally geodesic and totally umbilical pseudo–Riemannian hypersurface of $\mathbb{S}^{n+1}_{s^*}(1)\subset\mathbb{S}^{m-1}_s(1)$. From (2.9) we get $S=n(n-1)(1+\varepsilon_{n+1}\hat{\alpha}^2)\neq 0$ because of $\lambda_p=n(1+\varepsilon_{n+1}\hat{\alpha}^2)\neq 0$. Consequently, it follows from Example 4.5 that M_t is an open part of $\mathbb{S}^n_t(c)\subset\mathbb{S}^{n+1}_{t+1}(1)$ of curvature c for $0<c<1$ or $\mathbb{S}^n_t(c)\subset\mathbb{S}^{n+1}_t(1)$ of curvature c for $c>1$ or $\mathbb{H}^n_t(-c)\subset\mathbb{S}^{n+1}_{t+1}(1)$ of curvature $-c$ for $c>0$.

Conversely, let M_t be an open part of a nonflat, non–totally geodesic and totally umbilical hypersurface of a totally geodesic pseudo–sphere $\mathbb{S}^{n+1}_{s^*}(1)\subset\mathbb{S}^{m-1}_s$. Without loss of generality, we assume that M_t is immersed in $\mathbb{S}^{n+1}_{s^*}(1)\subset\mathbb{E}^{n+2}_{s^*}$. Let $e_1,\dots,e_{n+1},e_{n+2}=\mathbf{x}$ be a local orthonormal frame on M_t in $\mathbb{E}^{n+2}_s$ such that $e_1,\dots,e_n$ are tangent to M_t, and e_{n+1},e_{n+2} are normal to M_t, where $\mathbf{x}$ is the position vector of M_t. Since M_t is a hypersurface of $\mathbb{S}^{n+1}_{s^*}(1)$, the normal bundle of M_t in $\mathbb{E}^{n+2}_{s^*}$ is flat. Also, the mean curvature vector $\hat{H}=\varepsilon_{n+1}\hat{\alpha}e_{n+1}$ is parallel in $\mathbb{E}^{n+2}_{s^*}$. As M_t is totally umbilical, we get $\|\hat{h}\|^2=\varepsilon_{n+1}n\hat{\alpha}^2$ and hence, from (3.7) we have

$$\Delta\tilde{\nu}=n\varepsilon_{n+1}\hat{\alpha}(\hat{\alpha}\tilde{\nu}+e_{n+1}\wedge e_1\wedge e_2\wedge\cdots\wedge e_n). \tag{4.16}$$

Now, if we put

$$c = \frac{1}{1+\varepsilon_{n+1}\hat{\alpha}^2}(\tilde{\nu} - \varepsilon_{n+1}\hat{\alpha}e_{n+1} \wedge e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_n),$$

$$\tilde{\nu}_p = \frac{\varepsilon_{n+1}\hat{\alpha}}{1+\varepsilon_{n+1}\hat{\alpha}^2}(\hat{\alpha}\tilde{\nu} + e_{n+1} \wedge e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_n),$$

where $1+\varepsilon_{n+1}\hat{\alpha}^2 \neq 0$ because M_t is nonflat hypersurface in $\mathbb{S}^{n+1}_{s^*}(1)$ (note that for a flat totally umbilical hypersurface in $\mathbb{S}^{n+1}_{s^*}(1)$, $\varepsilon_{n+1} = -1$ and $\hat{\alpha}^2 = 1$), then we have $\tilde{\nu} = c + \tilde{\nu}_p$. As $\hat{\alpha}$ is constant it is easily seen that $e_i(c) = 0$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, i.e., c is a constant vector. Using (4.2) and (4.16), we obtain from a direct computation that $\Delta\tilde{\nu}_p = n(1+\varepsilon_{n+1}\hat{\alpha}^2)\tilde{\nu}_p$. Therefore, the pseudo–spherical Gauss map $\tilde{\nu}$ is of 1–type with nonzero constant component in its spectral decomposition. □

Definition 4.7. *A pseudo–Riemannian submanifold is said to have biharmonic pseudo–spherical Gauss map $\tilde{\nu}$ if it satisfies $\Delta\tilde{\nu} \neq 0$ and $\Delta^2\tilde{\nu} = 0$ identically.*

Theorem 4.8. *A pseudo–horosphere M_t in the pseudo–sphere $\mathbb{S}^{n+1}_s(1) \subset \mathbb{E}^{n+2}_s$ has biharmonic pseudo–spherical Gauss map.*

Proof. Suppose that M_t is a pseudo–horosphere with index t in $\mathbb{S}^{n+1}_s(1) \subset \mathbb{E}^{n+2}_s$. As seen in Example 4.5, for given any null vector $a \in \mathbb{E}^{n+2}_s$ and a real number $\tau \neq 0$, an n–dimensional pseudo–horosphere in $\mathbb{S}^{n+1}_s(1)$ is defined by

$$M_t = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{S}^{n+1}_s(1) : \langle \mathbf{x}, a\rangle = \tau\}.$$

The unit normal vector e_{n+1} of M_t in $\mathbb{S}^{n+1}_s(1)$ is chosen as $e_{n+1} = \frac{1}{\tau}(a - \tau\mathbf{x})$ with $\varepsilon_{n+1} = -1$. Thus, $A_{n+1} = I_t$ from which we get the mean curvature $\hat{\alpha} = 1$ and $\|\hat{h}\|^2 = -n$. Thus, (3.7) becomes

$$\Delta\tilde{\nu} = -n\tilde{\nu} - ne_{n+1} \wedge e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_n \neq 0. \tag{4.17}$$

On the other hand, from (4.2) we have

$$\Delta(e_{n+1} \wedge e_1 \wedge e_2 \cdots \wedge e_n) = n\tilde{\nu} + ne_{n+1} \wedge e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_n = -\Delta\tilde{\nu}. \tag{4.18}$$

Hence, we obtain that

$$\Delta^2\tilde{\nu} = -n\Delta\tilde{\nu} - n\Delta(e_{n+1} \wedge e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_n) = -n\Delta\tilde{\nu} + n\Delta\tilde{\nu} = 0 \tag{4.19}$$

which implies that the pseudo–spherical Gauss map $\tilde{\nu}$ of the pseudo–horosphere is biharmonic. □

Definition 4.9. *A surface of a pseudo–sphere is marginally trapped if and only if its mean curvature vector is lightlike at each point on the surface.*

By Theorem 6.1 in [10], B.-Y. Chen and J. Van der Veken classified the marginally trapped surfaces with parallel mean curvature vector in $\mathbb{S}_1^4(1) \subset \mathbb{E}_1^4$, and they obtained eight types of surfaces. It follows from the proof of this theorem the surface given in the next example is the only totally umbilical marginally trapped surface.

Example 4.10. Consider the following spacelike surface M in $\mathbb{S}_1^4(1) \subset \mathbb{E}_1^5$ defined by

$$\mathbf{x}(u,v) = (1, \sin u, \cos u \cos v, \cos u \sin v, 1). \tag{4.20}$$

We choose an orthonormal moving frame field $e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_5$ on M such that e_1, e_2 are tangent to M and e_3, e_4, e_5 are normal to M in $\mathbb{E}_1^5$ as follows:

$$\begin{gathered} e_1 = \tfrac{\partial}{\partial u}, \quad e_2 = \tfrac{1}{\cos u}\tfrac{\partial}{\partial v}, \ \ \cos u \neq 0, \\ e_3 = \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(-1, \sin u, \cos u \cos v, \cos u \sin v, 0), \\ e_4 = \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1, \sin u, \cos u \cos v, \cos u \sin v, 2), \quad e_5 = \mathbf{x}, \end{gathered}$$

where $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_2 = \varepsilon_3 = -\varepsilon_4 = \varepsilon_5 = 1$. By a direct calculation, we have the shape operators and the connection forms as

$$A_3 = A_4 = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\mathrm{I}, \ \ \omega_{12}(e_1) = 0, \ \ \omega_{12}(e_2) = -\tan u, \ \ \omega_{34} = 0,$$

where I is the 2×2 identity matrix. Thus, the mean curvature vector in $\mathbb{S}_1^4$ is given by $\hat{H} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(e_3 - e_4)$ which is parallel and null, and the normal curvature $K^D \equiv 0$ and the Gauss curvature $K = 1$. Moreover, from (4.6) the Laplacian of the pseudo-spherical Gauss map of M is $\Delta\tilde{\nu} = 2\hat{H} \wedge e_1 \wedge e_2 \neq 0$. Then, $\tilde{\nu}$ has the spectral decomposition $\tilde{\nu} = c + \tilde{\nu}_p$ with $c = \tilde{\nu} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(e_3 \wedge e_1 \wedge e_2 - e_4 \wedge e_1 \wedge e_2)$, $\tilde{\nu}_p = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(e_3 \wedge e_1 \wedge e_2 - e_4 \wedge e_1 \wedge e_2)$ and $\Delta\tilde{\nu}_p = 2\tilde{\nu}_p$. It can be seen easily that c is a constant vector. Therefore, the pseudo–spherical Gauss map $\tilde{\nu}$ of M is of 1–type with nonzero constant component in its spectral decomposition.

Theorem 4.11. *A marginally trapped spacelike surface M in the de Sitter space $\mathbb{S}_1^4(1) \subset \mathbb{E}_1^5$ has 1–type pseudo–spherical Gauss map with nonzero constant component in its spectral decomposition if and only if M is congruent to an open part of the surface of curvature one given by* (4.20).

Proof. Let $\mathbf{x} : M \longrightarrow \mathbb{S}_1^4(1) \subset \mathbb{E}_1^5$ be an isometric immersion of a marginally trapped spacelike surface M into $\mathbb{S}_1^4(1)$. If the pseudo–spherical Gauss map $\tilde{\nu}$ is of 1–type with

nonzero constant component in its spectral decomposition, then we have $\Delta\tilde{\nu} = \lambda_p(\tilde{\nu} - c)$ for some nonzero constant vector c and a real number $\lambda_p \neq 0$. Thus, we have

$$(\Delta\tilde{\nu})_i = \lambda_p(\tilde{\nu})_i, \tag{4.21}$$

where $(\cdot)_i = e_i(\cdot)$. On the other hand, by a direct calculation, we get from (3.7) that

$$\begin{aligned}
e_i(\Delta\tilde{\nu}) = &\left((\|\hat{h}\|^2)_i + 2\langle A_{D_{e_1}\hat{H}}, e_1\rangle + 2\langle A_{D_{e_2}\hat{H}}, e_2\rangle\right)\tilde{\nu} \\
&+ \|\hat{h}\|^2\left(\sum_{r=3}^{4}\varepsilon_r h_{i1}^r \mathbf{x}\wedge e_r\wedge e_2 + \sum_{r=3}^{4}\varepsilon_r h_{i2}^r \mathbf{x}\wedge e_1\wedge e_r\right) \\
&+ 4D_{e_i}\hat{H}\wedge e_1\wedge e_2 + 2\sum_{r=3}^{4}\varepsilon_r h_{i1}^r \hat{H}\wedge e_r\wedge e_2 + 2\sum_{r=3}^{4}\varepsilon_r h_{i2}^r \hat{H}\wedge e_1\wedge e_r \\
&- 2\delta_{i1}\hat{H}\wedge\mathbf{x}\wedge e_2 - 2\delta_{i2}\hat{H}\wedge e_1\wedge\mathbf{x} \\
&+ 2\omega_{12}(e_i)\mathbf{x}\wedge D_{e_1}\hat{H}\wedge e_1 - 2\omega_{12}(e_i)\mathbf{x}\wedge e_2\wedge D_{e_2}\hat{H} \\
&- 2\left(\sum_{r=3}^{4}\varepsilon_r h_{i2}^r \mathbf{x}\wedge D_{e_1}\hat{H}\wedge e_r + \sum_{r=3}^{4}\varepsilon_r h_{i1}^r \mathbf{x}\wedge e_r\wedge D_{e_2}\hat{H}\right) \\
&- 2\left(\mathbf{x}\wedge D_{e_i}D_{e_1}\hat{H}\wedge e_2 + \mathbf{x}\wedge e_1\wedge D_{e_i}D_{e_2}\hat{H}\right) \\
&+ 2\varepsilon_3\varepsilon_4\left(e_i(R^3_{412})\mathbf{x} + R^3_{412}e_i\right)\wedge e_3\wedge e_4 \\
&- 2\varepsilon_3\varepsilon_4 R^3_{412}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{2} h_{ji}^3 \mathbf{x}\wedge e_j\wedge e_4 + \sum_{j=1}^{2} h_{ji}^4 \mathbf{x}\wedge e_3\wedge e_j\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.22}$$

Since the term $D_{e_i}\hat{H}\wedge e_1\wedge e_2$ appears only in $e_i(\Delta\tilde{\nu})$, not in $e_i(\tilde{\nu})$, we have $D\hat{H} = 0$ which implies that M has parallel nonzero mean curvature vector in $\mathbb{S}^4_1(1)$. Thus, from Lemma 2.2 in [9] the surface M has flat normal bundle in $\mathbb{S}^4_1(1)$, i.e., $R^3_{412} = 0$. In this case, equation (4.22) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
e_i(\Delta\tilde{\nu}) = &(\|\hat{h}\|^2)_i\tilde{\nu} + \|\hat{h}\|^2\left(\sum_{r=3}^{4}\varepsilon_r h_{i1}^r \mathbf{x}\wedge e_r\wedge e_2 + \sum_{r=3}^{4}\varepsilon_r h_{i2}^r \mathbf{x}\wedge e_1\wedge e_r\right) \\
&- 2\delta_{i1}\hat{H}\wedge\mathbf{x}\wedge e_2 - 2\delta_{i2}\hat{H}\wedge e_1\wedge\mathbf{x} \\
&+ 2\sum_{r=3}^{4}\varepsilon_r h_{i1}^r \hat{H}\wedge e_r\wedge e_2 + 2\sum_{r=3}^{4}\varepsilon_r h_{i2}^r \hat{H}\wedge e_1\wedge e_r.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.23}$$

Hence, from (3.3), (4.21) and (4.23) we obtain $\|\hat{h}\|^2$ is constant which implies that scalar curvature is constant by (2.9),

$$\sum_{r=3}^{4}\varepsilon_r h_{i1}^r \hat{H}\wedge e_r\wedge e_2 + \sum_{r=3}^{4}\varepsilon_r h_{i2}^r \hat{H}\wedge e_1\wedge e_r = 0 \tag{4.24}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\hat{h}\|^2\left(\sum_{r=3}^{4}\varepsilon_r h^r_{i1}\mathbf{x}\wedge e_r\wedge e_2+\sum_{r=3}^{4}\varepsilon_r h^r_{i2}\mathbf{x}\wedge e_1\wedge e_r\right)\\
&-2\delta_{i1}\hat{H}\wedge\mathbf{x}\wedge e_2-2\delta_{i2}\hat{H}\wedge e_1\wedge\mathbf{x}\\
&=\lambda_p\left(\sum_{r=3}^{4}\varepsilon_r h^r_{i1}\mathbf{x}\wedge e_r\wedge e_2+\sum_{r=3}^{4}\varepsilon_r h^r_{i2}\mathbf{x}\wedge e_1\wedge e_r\right). \qquad (4.25)
\end{aligned}$$

Since the mean curvature vector $\hat{H}$ is lightlike, we have $(\mathrm{tr}A_3)^2=(\mathrm{tr}A_4)^2$. As M is a spacelike surface with flat normal bundle in $\mathbb{S}^4_1(1)$, the shape operators are simultaneously diagonalizable. Then, there exists an orthonormal frame $\{e_1,e_2,e_3,e_4\}$ on M such that the shape operators and the second fundamental forms are given by

$$A_3=\begin{pmatrix} h^3_{11} & 0\\ 0 & h^3_{22}\end{pmatrix},\qquad A_4=\begin{pmatrix} h^4_{11} & 0\\ 0 & h^4_{22}\end{pmatrix}, \qquad (4.26)$$

$$h(e_1,e_1)=\varepsilon_3(h^3_{11}e_3-h^4_{11}e_4),\ h(e_1,e_2)=0,\ h(e_2,e_2)=\varepsilon_3(h^3_{22}e_3-h^4_{22}e_4).$$

Hence, from the Gauss equation, we obtain

$$K=1+\varepsilon_3(h^3_{11}h^3_{22}-h^4_{11}h^4_{22}). \qquad (4.27)$$

In this case, (4.24) yields $h^4_{i1}\mathrm{tr}A_3-h^3_{i1}\mathrm{tr}A_4=0$ and $h^4_{i2}\mathrm{tr}A_3-h^3_{i2}\mathrm{tr}A_4=0$ for $i=1,2$. Since the shape operators are diagonalizable and $\hat{H}\neq 0$, these system of equations hold identically. Also, it follows from (4.25) that

$$(\|\hat{h}\|^2-\lambda_p)h^r_{ij}+\delta_{ij}\mathrm{tr}A_r=0 \qquad (4.28)$$

for all $i,j=1,2$ and $r=3,4$. If $\lambda_p=\|\hat{h}\|^2$, then (4.28) gives $\mathrm{tr}A_3=\mathrm{tr}A_4=0$ which is a contradiction. So, $\lambda_p\neq\|\hat{h}\|^2$, and from equation (4.28) we also have $h^3_{11}=h^3_{22}$ and $h^4_{11}=h^4_{22}$. Therefore, (4.26) and (4.27) reduce to

$$A_3=h^3_{11}\mathrm{I}\quad\text{and}\quad A_4=h^4_{11}\mathrm{I}, \qquad (4.29)$$

$$h(e_1,e_1)=h(e_2,e_2)=\varepsilon_3(h^3_{11}e_3-h^4_{11}e_4),\ \ h(e_1,e_2)=0, \qquad (4.30)$$

where I is 2×2 identity matrix. Therefore, the surface M is non–totally geodesic and totally umbilical in $\mathbb{S}^4_1(1)$. On the other hand, using the fact that $(\mathrm{tr}A_3)^2=(\mathrm{tr}A_4)^2$ in (4.27), we get $K=1$. Thus, following the proof of Theorem 6.1 in [10] it is seen that M is congruent to an open part of the surface of curvature one whose position vector is given by (4.20).

The converse follows from Example 4.10. □

Acknowledgement. This work is a part of the first author's doctoral thesis which is partially supported by Istanbul Technical University.

References

[1] Bektaş, B. and Dursun, U.: On spherical submanifolds with finite type spherical Gauss map. to appear in Advances in Geometry.

[2] Chen, B.-Y.: *Total mean curvature and submanifolds of finite type.* World Scientific, Singapore(1984).

[3] Chen, B.-Y.: Finite type submanifolds in pseudo–Euclidean space and its applications, Kodai Math. J. **8,**(1985) 358–374.

[4] Chen, B.-Y.: A report on submanifolds of finite type, Soochow J. Math. **22,**(1996) 117–337.

[5] Chen, B.-Y.: Classification of minimal Lorentz surfaces in indefinite space forms with arbitrary codimension and arbitrary index, arXiv:1307.3969v1.

[6] Chen, B.-Y., Lue, H.-S.: Spherical submanifolds with finite type spherical Gauss map, Journal of the Korean Mathematical Society **44,**(2007) 407–442.

[7] Chen, B.-Y., Petroviç, M.: On spectral decomposition of immersions of finite type, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **44,**(1991) 117–129.

[8] Chen, B.-Y., Piccinni, P.: Submanifolds with finite type Gauss map, Bull. Austral. Mat. Soc. **35,**(1987) 161–186.

[9] Chen, B.-Y., Van der Veken, J.: Complete classification of parallel surfaces in 4–dimensional Lorentzian space forms, Tohoku Math. J. **61,**(2009) 1–40.

[10] Chen, B.-Y., Van der Veken, J.: Classification of marginally trapped surfaces with parallel mean curvature in Lorentzian space forms, Houston Journal of Mathematics **36,**(2010) 1–29.

[11] Dursun, U.: Hypersurfaces of hyperbolic space with 1–type Gauss map, in Proc. Int. Conf. Differential Geometry and Dynamical Systems(DGDS-2010), BSG Proceedings **18,**(Geometry Balkan Press, Bucharest, 2011) 47–55.

[12] Dursun, U., Yeğin, R.: Hyperbolic submanifolds with finite type hyperbolic Gauss map, Int. J. Math. **26,**(2015) Article no:1550014 (18 pages).

[13] Gorokh, V.P.: Minimal surfaces of constant Gaussian curvature in a pseudo–Riemannian sphere, Journal **32,**(1989) 27–34.

[14] Ishihara, T.: The harmonic Gauss maps in a generalized sense, J. London Math. Soc. **26,**(1982) 104–112.

[15] Kim, Y.-H., Yoon, D.W.: Classification of rotation surfaces in pseudo–Euclidean space, Journal of the Korean Mathematical Society **41,**(2004) 379–396.

[16] Lucas, P., Fabián R.-O.: Hypersurfaces in non-flat pseudo-Riemannian space forms satisfying a linear condition in the linearized operator of a higher order mean curvature, Taiwanese J. Math. **17,**(2013) 15–45.

[17] Obata, M.: The Gauss map of immersions of Riemannian manifolds in spaces of constant curvature, J. Differential Geom. **2,**(1968) 217–223.

[18] Yoon, D.W.: Rotation surfaces with finite type Gauss map in $\mathbb{E}^4$, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. **32,**(2001) 1803–1808.